

REVISTA CIÊNCIAS EM SAÚDE

HEALTH SCIENCES JOURNAL e-ISSN 2236-3785



ORIGINAL ARTICLE



Trend of hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions and related aspects in Sergipe, 2010 to 2019.

Tendência das internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária e aspectos relacionados em Sergipe, 2010 a 2019.

Rynat Dasaev Oliveira Chagas* (D), Joao Batista Cavalcante Filho (D), Marco Antônio Prado Nunes (D) Departament of Medicine, Federal University of Sergipe. Sergipe, Aracaju, Brazil.

Received Aug 14, 2021, accepted May 10, 2022, published Jun 25, 2022

| KEYWORDS | ABSTRACT |
|---|--|
| Family health Healthcare financing Hospitalization Primary healthcare Quality of healthcare | Objective: To analyze the trend of hospitalization rates for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions (HACSC) in Sergipe and its seven health regions between 2010 and 2019, correlating with financial investments in health and primary care, strategy coverage of family health, and the number of hospital beds. Methods: This is an ecological time-series study trend with secondary data from the Ministry of Health. The trend verification was done by segmented linear analysis and the correlation between the variables by Spearman's correlation. Results: HACSC in the state of Sergipe showed a trend towards stability. In the Nossa Senhora do Socorro region, an increasing rate trend was identified from 2010 to 2017 and a non-significant decreasing trend from 2017 to 2019, with a negative correlation with per capita investment in PHC. The Itabaiana region showed a trend of reduction in rates from 2010 to 2012, followed by a trend of growth in rates from 2012 to 2020, with no significant correlation with any of the variables. Conclusions: Monitoring HACSC rates and understanding their multifactorial influence are essential since this indicator is helpful in local situational diagnosis and contributes to planning actions. |
| | |

*Corresponding author:

Departamento de Medicina, Universidade Federal de Sergipe.

Addr.: Rua: 53-A, 56 - Bairro Rosa Elze. São Cristóvão, SE, Brasil | CEP 49.100-000 Phone: +55 79 998502515

E-mail: rynat.chagas@gmail.com (Chagas RDO)

The study was conducted at the Federal University of Sergipe.

https://doi.org/10.21876/rcshci.v12i2.1193

How to cite this article: Chagas RDO, Cavalcante Filho JB, Nunes MAP. Trend of hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions and related aspects in Sergipe, 2010 to 2019. Rev Cienc Saude. 2022;12(2):12-19. https://doi.org/10.21876/rcshci.v12i2.1193

2236-3785/© 2022 Revista Ciências em Saúde. This is an open-access article distributed under a CC BY-NC-SA licence. (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/deed.en)



PALAVRAS-CHAVE

Atenção primária à saúde Financiamento da assistência à saúde Hospitalização Qualidade da assistência à saúde Saúde da família

RESUMO

Objetivo: Analisar a tendência das taxas das internações por condições sensíveis a atenção primária (ICSAP) em Sergipe e em suas sete regiões de saúde entre os anos de 2010 e 2019, correlacionando com os investimentos financeiros em saúde e na atenção primária, cobertura da estratégia de saúde da família e número de leitos.

Métodos: Trata-se de um estudo ecológico de tendências de séries temporais com dados secundários do Ministério da Saúde. A verificação da tendência foi realizada por análise linear segmentada e a correlação entre as variáveis foi feita pela correlação de Spearman.

Resultados: As ICSAP no estado de Sergipe apresentaram tendência de estabilidade. Na região de Nossa Senhora do Socorro foi identificado tendência crescente das taxas de 2010 a 2017 e tendência decrescente não significativa de 2017 a 2019, com correlação negativa com o investimento *per capita* em APS. A região de Itabaiana apresentou tendência de redução das taxas de 2010 a 2012, seguido de tendência de crescimento das taxas de 2012 a 2020, sem correlação significativa com nenhuma das variáveis.

Conclusões: Realizar o monitoramento das taxas de ICSAP e entender sua influência multifatorial são importantes, uma vez que esse indicador é útil no diagnóstico situacional local e contribuir para planejar ações.

INTRODUCTION

The creation of the Unified Health System - SUS (Sistema Único de Saúde) in Brazil has enabled progress toward universal health access¹. Primary Health Care (PHC) is the main entrance door to SUS. Other means of first access to SUS are Ambulatory Medical Assistance (AMAs), psychosocial care, and urgency and emergency services.

Effective primary care can meet about 85% of the population's health needs², preventing progression to more severe conditions that require hospitalization. One way to assess the quality of PHC is by using the indicator Hospitalizations for Ambulatory Care-Sensitive Conditions (HACSC), developed by Billings et al. in the 1990s and consolidated in the literature³⁻⁵. Ordinance No. 221 was published by the Ministry of Health on April 17, 2008, to standardize the ambulatory care-sensitive conditions in Brazil. The list is the result of a work validated by several specialists in public health in Brazil^{6,7}.

The decrease in HACSC rates leads to improvements in PHC and strengthening the Family Health Strategy (FHS) as a public health policy^{8,9}. High hospitalization rates do not always indicate deficiencies in primary care but should signal further investigation of where they occur⁶. Several factors influence this indicator, such as the characteristics of the patients, the variability of the criteria adopted to indicate hospitalization, and the admission policies of tertiary care centers¹⁰. This scenario is supported by Roemer's Law, which states that in the presence of hospital beds, these tend to be used regardless of the population's needs¹¹.

Health financing in Brazil has been insufficient and unevenly distributed, contributing to inequities in access to healthcare and affecting public health quality¹²⁻¹⁴. Some studies have shown a direct relationship between the prevention and control of HACSC and the performance of primary care services and more significant investments¹⁵⁻¹⁷.

This study analyzed the trend in hospitalization rates for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions in the state of Sergipe and its seven health regions between 2010 and 2019, correlating this trend with financial investments in health and primary care, FHS coverage, and the number of beds in the state, during the same period.

METHODS

This is an ecological time-series study on HACSC in the state of Sergipe (northeastern Brazil), considering as a unit of analysis each of its seven health regions, from January 1, 2010, to December 31, 2019. These regions are references from the Sergipe State Department of Health, present in the state health plan, 2016-2019.

Information on hospitalizations was obtained from the Hospital Information System (SIH/SUS) database of the SUS Department of Informatics (DATASUS) (http://www2.datasus.gov.br) and tabulated with TabWin software. The year of hospitalization, informed on each hospital admission authorization form (AIH), was used to define the temporality of the event; nonresidents of Sergipe were excluded from this analysis.

The gross rates of HACSC were calculated by the ratio between the number of HACSC and the reference population for the assessed period multiplied by 1,000. The population used in the calculation was estimated by the Ministry of Health. The rates were standardized by the direct method, considering the proportion of the world population estimated by the WHO from 2000 to 2025.

The data were grouped into health regions, and descriptive analysis was performed using Microsoft Excel 2019. To identify significant changes in the variables' trend over time and estimate the annual percentage variation, the segmented linear regression analysis was performed using the Joinpoint software, version 4.8.8. The level of significance adopted was 5%. The series trend was classified as stable (p > 0.05), decreasing ($p \le 0.05$ and negative regression coefficient), or increasing ($p \ge 0.05$ and positive regression coefficient).

The financial data were obtained from transfer statements, available in the Information System on Public Budgets in Health (SIOPS)

(https://antigo.saude.gov.br/repasses-

financeiros/siops), and the indicators were established based on the expense paid for each year of the direct administration sub-function in health. The per capita health and PHC investments were calculated from the demographic data estimated by the Ministry of Health. All amounts were adjusted according to the Broad Consumer Price Index (IPCA) until 2019.

The annual percentage of the population covered by the FHS was obtained from information provided by the Department of Primary Health Care of the Ministry of Health (https://egestorab.saude.gov.br/index.xhtml), and the number of hospital beds was based on the National Registry of Health Establishments in the DATASUS (http://www2.datasus.gov.br). The number of beds per 1,000 inhabitants was calculated from the population data already obtained. The trend of per capita health investment, per capita PHC investment, FHS coverage, and the number of beds per 1,000 inhabitants was verified using the Joinpoint software (version 4.8.8). The Spearman correlation analysis was performed using the BioEstat software (version 5.3, Mamirauá Sustainable Development Institute, Belém, Brazil) to test the correlation of the Pará. abovementioned variables with the HACSC rates. Correlations with $p \le 0.05$ and a moderate $(0.4 \le r \le 0.7)$ or strong (0.7 < r < 1.0) level were considered statistically significant¹⁸.

RESULTS

From 2010 to 2019, 926,564 people were hospitalized in Sergipe, whose population increased from 2,108,297 to 2,298,696 in the same period. There were 129,836 HACSC, which represented 14.01% of the total number of hospitalizations in this decade.

Propriá and Aracaju were the health regions with the highest hospitalization rates. The HACSC remained stable in the state and five of the seven health regions (Table 1).

In Nossa Senhora do Socorro, there was an increasing trend from 2010 to 2017 and non-significant decreasing trend from 2017 to 2019. The region of Itabaiana showed a decreasing trend from 2010 to 2012, followed by an increasing trend from 2012 to 2020 (Table 1).

Investment in health in Sergipe ranged from R\$ 1,112,646,230.75 in 2010 to R\$ 1,364,379,362.26 in 2019. Per capita health investments had a median of R\$ 613.16, with a trend toward stability in the decade and per capita investment in primary care showed a decreasing trend in this period, with a median of R\$ 184.16. All values were adjusted according to the IPCA until 2019 (Table 2).

There was a trend for an increase in per capita health investment in the regions of Propriá, Nossa Senhora do Socorro, and Estância. The regions of Aracaju, Nossa Senhora do Socorro, Estância, and Itabaiana had a decrease in per capita HPC investment, in the decade (Table 2).

In 2019, PHC in Sergipe accounted for 25.21% of the financial health resources. The highest investment was recorded for Propriá (43.14%) and the lowest one for

Aracaju (12.21%) (Table 3).

The FHS coverage in Sergipe varied from 86.26% in 2010 to 82.53% in 2019, with a median value of 84.97% during this period. In these ten years, there was a decrease in FHS coverage in the region of Aracaju, and an increase in Estância, Itabaiana, and Lagarto, whereas in the other regions, the FHS coverage remained stable. The number of beds per 1,000 inhabitants decreased in the state and the health regions. Aracaju had the highest rate, 1.91 beds per 1,000

The Spearman correlation showed a moderate negative correlation in the per capita PHC investment in Nossa Senhora do Socorro, i.e., as this type of investment decreased, the HACSC rates increased, with statistical significance in that region. The number of hospital beds correlated negatively with the HACSC rates in Nossa Senhora do Socorro and Estância, and positively in Nossa Senhora da Glória (Table 5).

DISCUSSION

Among the hospitalizations recorded from 2010 to 2019 in Sergipe, 14.01% were due to ACSC. The HACSC rates were stable in Sergipe in the analyzed years. Similar studies found a decrease in the trend of these rates in Goiás from 2005 to 2015¹⁹, Florianópolis (2001-2011)⁹, Ceará (2000-2012)²⁰, Pernambuco (2008-2012)²¹, and Espírito Santo (2000-2014)²², and a trend toward stability in Rondônia (2012-2016) and Porto Alegre (1998-2012)²⁴. The value found for Sergipe was lower than those recorded in several other studies focusing on other states: 30.0% of HACSC in Goiás¹⁹, 24.8% in Rondônia²³, 20.0% in the Federal District (Brasilia), and 15.9% in São Paulo⁴.

The HACSC rate indicated stability in most health regions, two of which had different characteristics: Nossa Senhora do Socorro, which showed an increasing trend in rates until 2017 and a subsequent nonsignificant decreasing trend, and Itabaiana, which showed a decreasing trend in rates from 2010 to 2012, followed by an increasing trend from 2012 to 2019. The behavior of the rate trends was analyzed based on the inclusion of all HACSC. There was no stratification by age, gender, or cause of hospitalization.

Per capita health investment remained stable in the state of Sergipe. In the analyzed decade, there was an increase in health investments in Nossa Senhora do Socorro, Estância, and Propriá. A study showed that Brazil's per capita expenditure on health was US\$ 1,056.00 in 2012, of which 46.9% was spent on public health, corresponding to US\$ 490.00 per capita²⁵. In the same year, Sergipe had a per capita expenditure of approximately US\$ 230.47, less than the per capita amount spent in Brazil, considered insufficient in several studies^{12,13}. The investment in Aracaju was the closest to the country's average in 2012, accounting for US\$ 317.09.

Over the years, there was a decrease in per capita PHC investment in Sergipe. In 2019, according to the SIOPS, Brazil allocated 19.7% of total health expenditures to PHC, whereas Sergipe allocated 25.10%. Although the state investment is higher than the national average, decreasing trend is evident. As PHC is the main

| | нассс | , | Trend 1 | | | | | | Trend 2 | | | | | | Total Period | |
|--------------------|-------|-------------|--------------|--------|-------|---------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------|-------|---------|-------------|-------------|--------------|------------|
| Location | rate | IQR | Períod | Ь | r² | APC (%) | CI 95%% | p- value | Períod | b | r² | APC (%) | CI 95%% | P- value | AAPC (%) | CI 95%% |
| State of Sergipe | 6.11 | 5.96 - 6.64 | 2010 to 2019 | 0.059 | 0.131 | 0.9 | -1.0 - 2.9 | 0.323 | - | | | - | - | | 0.9 | -1.0 - 2.9 |
| Health Region | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aracaju | 8.98 | 8.00 - 9.48 | 2010 to 2019 | -0.072 | 0.030 | -0.7 | -4.4 - 3.2 | 0.647 | - | | | - | - | | -0.7 | -4.4 - 3.2 |
| N. Sra. do Socorro | 5.03 | 3.86 - 5.46 | 2010 to 2019 | 0.473 | 0.956 | 12.7* | 9.5 - 16.1 | <0.001 | 2017 to 2019 | -0.729 | 0.742 | -13.1 | -29.8 - 7.1 | 0.132 | 6.3* | 2.2 - 10.7 |
| Estância | 4.52 | 4.09 - 4.82 | 2010 to 2019 | 0.110 | 0.285 | 2.6 | -0.8 - 6.2 | 0.124 | - | | | - | - | | 2.6 | -0.8 - 6.2 |
| Itabaiana | 2.43 | 1.92 – 2.88 | 2010 to 2019 | -1.020 | 0.994 | -34.4* | -55.72.9 | 0.037 | 2012 to 2019 | 0.244 | 0.891 | 11.8* | 6.2 - 17.6 | 0.003 | -0.7 | -7.7 - 6.8 |
| Lagarto | 5.69 | 4.99 - 6.04 | 2010 to 2019 | -0.076 | 0.110 | -1.3 | -4.4 - 1.9 | 0.421 | - | | | - | - | | -1.3 | -4.4 - 1.9 |
| N. Sra. da Glória | 2.22 | 1.94 – 2.74 | 2010 to 2019 | -0.100 | 0.300 | -4.4 | -9.5 - 0.9 | 0.446 | - | | | - | - | | -4.4 | -9.5 - 0.9 |
| Propriá | 9.17 | 8.58 - 10.1 | 2010 to 2019 | -0.275 | 0.299 | -3.0 | -7.0 - 1.1 | 0.129 | - | | | - | - | | -3.0 | -7.0 - 1.1 |

Table 1 – Distribution of medians and trend of standardized rates of hospitalizations for ambulatory care sensitive conditions (HACSC) per 1,000 inhabitants, in Sergipe and in its health regions, from 2010 to 2019.

IQR: Interquartile range; APC: annual percent change; AAPC: average annual percent change; CI: confidence interval; *statistically significant results; b: regression coefficient and r²: Determination coefficient

| | Per capita PHC investment | | | | | | | | Per capita health investment (IH) | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|---------|----------------|------------|-------------|---------|--------|-----------------------------------|---------|----------------|------------|------------|---------|--|--|
| | Median | IQR | b | r ² | APC (%) | CI 95% | p-value | Median | IQR | b | r ² | APC (%) | CI 95% | p-value | | |
| State of Sergipe | 184.16 | 158.96 - 210.82 | -8.109 | 0.726 | -4.3* | -6.42.1 | 0.002 | 613.16 | 599.40 - 646.02 | 1.474 | 0.011 | 0.5 | -1.3 – 2.3 | 0.724 | | |
| Health Region | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aracaju | 109.61 | 88.55 - 145.58 | -9.724 | 0.889 | -8.1* | -10.25.9 | < 0.001 | 811.4 | 729.79 - 909.83 | -12.022 | 0.131 | -1.2 | -3.6 - 1.2 | 0.289 | | |
| N. Sra. do Socorro | 223.32 | 173.64 - 249.18 | -10.05 | 0.558 | -4.6* | -7.9 – -1.1 | 0.016 | 512.83 | 482.66 - 544.95 | 10.498 | 0.537 | 2.1* | 0.5 - 3.7 | 0.015 | | |
| Estância | 222.28 | 196.04 - 259.09 | -9.316 | 0.540 | -4.0* | -6.91.0 | 0.015 | 500.54 | 475.39 - 520.59 | 11.202 | 0.708 | 2.7* | 0.5 - 4.9 | 0.002 | | |
| Itabaiana | 250.78 | 160.89 - 196.23 | -3.195 | 0.153 | -4.4* | -8.20.4 | 0.034 | 511.89 | 493.10 - 544.85 | 5.363 | 0.219 | 1.1 | -0.5 – 2.7 | 0.158 | | |
| Lagarto | 174.36 | 196.51 – 271.62 | -10.855 | 0.443 | -1.8 | -5.2 - 1.8 | 0.271 | 431.3 | 410.26 - 448.21 | 5.050 | 0.368 | 1.1 | -0.4 - 2.6 | 0.056 | | |
| N. Sra da Glória | 232.90 | 204.90 - 272.72 | -5.219 | 0.157 | -2.4 | -6.4 - 1.9 | 0.228 | 535.73 | 509.09 - 556.96 | 2.930 | 0.089 | 0.6 | 0.9 - 2.0 | 0.400 | | |
| Propriá | 270.61 | 245.64 - 283.82 | 2.510 | 0.100 | 0.9 | -1.4 - 3.3 | 0.385 | 626.30 | 522.60 - 646.47 | 19.649 | 0.794 | 3.5* | 2.0 - 4.9 | 0.001 | | |

Table 2 – Distribution of medians and trends in per capita PHC and health investments. corrected by the IPCA 12/2019, in Sergipe and in its health regions, from 2010 to 2019.

IQR: Interquartile range; APC: annual percent change; AAPC: average annual percent change; CI: confidence interval; *statistically significant results; b: regression coefficient and r²: Determination coefficient

| Location | Per capita PHC investment (IPHC) | Per capita health investment (IH) | IPHC/IH percentage |
|--------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| State of Sergipe | R\$ 149.64 | R\$ 593.54 | 25.21% |
| Health Region | | | |
| Aracaju | R\$ 83.08 | R\$ 680.48 | 12.21% |
| N. Sra. do Socorro | R\$ 171.10 | R\$ 575.47 | 29.73% |
| Estância | R\$ 193.87 | R\$ 537.50 | 36.07% |
| Itabaiana | R\$ 178.53 | R\$ 526.77 | 33.89% |
| Lagarto | R\$ 149.57 | R\$ 420.83 | 35.54% |
| N. Sra. da Glória | R\$ 202.97 | R\$ 559.17 | 36.30% |
| Propriá | R\$ 289.2 | R\$ 670.36 | 43.14% |

Table 3 – Per capita investment in PHC, per capita investment in health and percentage of investment in PHC in relation to investment in health in Sergipe and its health regions in 2019.

Table 4 – Distribution of medians, trends in FHS coverage, and the number of hospital beds per 1,000 inhabitants in Sergipe and in its health regions, from 2010 to 2019.

| | | , | - | • • | | | | , | 5 | | | 5, | | | |
|--------------------|----------------------------|-------------|--------|-------|---------|------------|-----------------|------------|---|--------|-------|---------|-------------|-----------------|--|
| Leastien | Percentage of FHS coverage | | | | | | | | No. of hospital beds per 1000 inhabitants | | | | | | |
| Location | Median (%) | IQR | b | r² | APC (%) | CI 95% | p-val ue | Median (%) | IQR | b | r² | APC (%) | CI 95% | p-val ue | |
| State of Sergipe | 85.0 | 83.6 - 86.0 | -0.309 | 0.297 | -0.4 | -0.8 - 0.1 | 0.100 | 1.14 | 1.05 - 1.37 | -0.056 | 0.885 | -4.5* | -5.7 – -3.4 | <0.001 | |
| Health Region | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aracaju | 80.8 | 70.7 - 84.5 | -2.452 | 0.896 | -3.1* | -4.02.2 | <0.001 | 1.91 | 1.77 - 2.20 | -0.076 | 0.814 | -3.8* | -5.12.4 | <0.001 | |
| N. Sra. do Socorro | 99.0 | 98.8 — 99.1 | -0.089 | 0.865 | -0.1 | -0.2 - 0.1 | 0.020 | 0.79 | 0.78 - 1.30 | -0.111 | 0.679 | -9.5* | -15.23.5 | 0.003 | |
| Estância | 93.6 | 87.8 - 84.4 | 1.075 | 0.660 | 1.2* | 0.5 - 1.9 | 0.005 | 0.93 | 0.88 - 1.08 | -0.055 | 0.670 | -5.5* | -8.12.9 | 0.001 | |
| Itabaiana | 85.8 | 85.4 - 85.9 | 0.209 | 0.862 | 0.2* | 0.2 - 0.3 | <0.001 | 0.48 | 0.47 - 0.62 | -0.034 | 0.579 | -6.4* | -8.34.4 | 0.012 | |
| Lagarto | 74.5 | 58.5 - 77.9 | 3.030 | 0.831 | 4.6* | 2.8 - 6.4 | <0.001 | 0.88 | 0.71 - 0.95 | -0.042 | 0.584 | -5.1* | -7.92.3 | 0.008 | |
| N. Sra. da Glória | 93.3 | 89.5 - 95.3 | -0.027 | 0.042 | 1.0 | -0.3 - 2.3 | 0.127 | 0.34 | 0.33 - 0.35 | -0.010 | 0.651 | -3.3* | -3.43.1 | 0.004 | |
| Propriá | 98.9 | 97.8 - 99.4 | 0.076 | 0.015 | 0.1 | -0.4 - 0.6 | 0.719 | 0.87 | 0.80 - 1.10 | -0.069 | 0.626 | -7.2* | -8.85.6 | 0.008 | |

IQR: Interquartile range; APC: annual percent change; AAPC: average annual percent change; CI: confidence interval; *statistically significant results; b: regression coefficient and r²: Determination coefficient

| Location | Per cap invest | oita PHC ments | Per capi invest | ta health tments | FHS co | overage | No. of l be | No. of hospital beds | | |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------|---------------------|--------|---------|----------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| | r | р | r | р | r | р | r | р | | |
| State of Sergipe | -0.152 | 0.338 | -0.418 | 0.115 | -0.467 | 0.087 | -0.515 | 0.064 | | |
| Health Region | | | | | | | | | | |
| Aracaju | 0.127 | 0.363 | -0.515 | 0.064 | 0.091 | 0.401 | -0.006 | 0.493 | | |
| N. Sra. do Socorro | -0.564* | 0.045 | 0.442 | 0.100 | -0.152 | 0.338 | -0.842* | 0.001 | | |
| Estância | -0.467 | 0.087 | 0.0552 | 0.051 | 0.527 | 0.059 | -0.564* | 0.045 | | |
| Itabaiana | 0.358 | 0.155 | -0.042 | 0.454 | 0.345 | 0.164 | 0.382 | 0.138 | | |
| Lagarto | 0.322 | 0.182 | 0.377 | 0.142 | -0.377 | 0.142 | 0.225 | 0.266 | | |
| N. Sra. da Glória | 0.103 | 0.388 | -0.333 | 0.173 | -0.515 | 0.064 | 0.588* | 0.037 | | |
| Propriá | -0.052* | 0.049 | -0.467 | 0.087 | -0.176 | 0.314 | 0.164 | 0.326 | | |

Table 5 – Spearman correlation for the standardized HACSC rates in relation to per capita PHC and health investments, FHS coverage, and the number of hospital beds, in Sergipe and in its health regions, from 2010 to 2019.

r, regression coefficient; * statistically significant results.

entrance door to the health system and is responsible for about 80% of the demands, more significant investment in this sector was expected. In addition to decreasing trends, the region of Aracaju has the worst percentage of PHC investments (12.20%) compared to the total health expenditures in 2019. This scenario requires future analysis despite not reflecting the hospitalization rates found in this study.

FHS coverage remained constant in Sergipe, with a decreasing trend in Aracaju and increasing trend in Estância, Itabaiana, and Lagarto. Regardless of the trend, the median of FHS coverage was high in all regions, among which Lagarto had the lowest value (74.52%). The population covered by the FHS is distributed differently among the regions, urban centers, and small- and medium-sized cities^{26,27}. According to the Department of Primary Health Care of the Ministry of Health, the FHS coverage was 63.62% in Brazil in 2019. The northeastern region had the highest coverage (82.33%), whereas the southeastern region had the lowest one (50.99%). Some studies have shown a decrease in HACSC related to the expansion of FHS²⁸⁻³¹. In the decade analyzed in this research, only Lagarto had significant values of coverage expansion, whereas Aracaju had a significant decrease; however, these regions had no changes in hospitalization rates, leading us to believe that the previous high coverage was more important than the trend, regarding the number of hospitalizations in these regions.

The values found for the number of hospital beds per 1,000 inhabitants in Sergipe and its health regions are important, as they had a decreasing trend. National and international studies have shown a positive correlation between the number of beds and hospitalizations, following Roemer's Law^{32,33}. It was observed that, contrary to expectations, hospitalization trends in the state and the health regions did not follow the decrease in the number of beds. There was a positive correlation only in Nossa Senhora da Glória, with no impact on the hospitalization trend. These results evidence the importance of understanding the various factors contributing to these hospitalization rates. Information about the number of beds is often unreliable since it varies according to the needs and arrangements of the hospital³⁴.

The existence of two regions (Nossa Senhora do

Socorro and Itabaiana) with behavior different from the others is relevant. In Nossa Senhora do Socorro, there was a negative correlation between HACSC rates and per capita PHC investment. Therefore, the decrease in PHC investments is correlated with the increase in hospitalization rates. The data found in this region were against Roemer's Law since they indicated a negative correlation between hospitalization rates and the number of hospital beds. In the region of Itabaiana, there was no significant correlation between the analyzed variables. The HACSC rates have a multifactorial influence and this region had peculiarities not addressed in this study. Understanding the peculiarities of these regions is essential and should be the target of future studies. Some variables, such as socioeconomic and demographic characteristics and access to services, although not assessed here, are also changes contributing factors to in these hospitalizations⁹.

Ecological studies have their limitations, and the aspects verified in the community may not reflect the individual condition of the citizens of Sergipe. The HACSC rates recorded here were related to the state's total population and not to specific population groups. The unit of analysis consisted of hospitalization for ambulatory care-sensitive conditions; it is impossible to infer among the less hospitalized individuals who benefited from health investments and who used the FHS services⁹.

The problems arising from using secondary data are another limitation of this study. The SIH/SUS only contemplates hospitalizations in the public health system and does not allow us to identify readmissions, in addition to possibly underestimating some diagnoses whose reimbursements are lower³⁵. Another study identified a greater likelihood of an adequate record of diagnoses unrelated to HACSC³⁶. Despite this, the SIH/SUS is widely used in studies, and its results have been adequate for practical experience³⁷.

CONCLUSION

The continued use of HACSC rates for PHC monitoring is relevant. The understanding of the current pattern serves as a basis for future comparisons.

Expanding the understanding of other factors that may be related to this indicator is crucial.

The HACSC rate trends can be used as a source of data for decision-making by managers and professionals,

REFERENCES

- Castro MC, Massuda A, Almeida G, Menezes-Filho NA, Andrade MV, de Souza Noronha KVM, et al. Brazil's unified health system: the first 30 years and prospects for the future. The Lancet. 2019;394(10195):345-56. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(19)31243-7
- Mendes EV. As redes de atenção à saúde. 2nd ed. Vol. 15, Ciência & Saúde Coletiva. Brasília: Organização Pan-Americana da Saúde; 2011. 549 p. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232010000500005
- Aguiar RLO. Internações por Condições Sensíveis à Atenção Primária (ICSAP): considerações sobre o perfil e gastos para um município mineiro [Specialization Monograph]. Contagem:UFG; 2018. 55 p. Available from: http://files.bvs.br/upload/bvsecos/tcc_Rubia_Aguiar.pdf
- 4. Dos Santos FC. Internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária: uma revisão da produção indexada na Biblioteca Virtual em Saúde, 2005-2014 [Specialization Monograph]. Porto Alegre: Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul; 2015. 32 p. Available from: http://hdl.handle.net/10183/130240
- Rodr M, Perea E, Ortiz J, Berm C. Cuidados ambulatorios en los municipios. Gaceta Sanitaria. 2003;17(5):360-7. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0213-9111(03)71771-3
- Alfradique ME, Bonolo PF, Dourado I, Lima-Costa MF, Macinko J, Mendonça CS, et al. Internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária: a construção da lista brasileira como ferramenta para medir o desempenho do sistema de saúde (Projeto ICSAP - Brasil). Cad Saúde Pública. 2009;25(6):1337-49. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2009000600016
- Junqueira RMP, Duarte EC. Internações hospitalares por causas sensíveis à atenção primária no Distrito Federal, 2008. Rev Saúde Pública. 2012;46(5):761-8. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0034-89102012000500001
- de Brito GEG, Mendes ACG, dos Santos Neto PM. O objeto de trabalho na estratégia saúde da família. Interface. 2018;22(64):77-86. https://doi.org/10.1590/1807-57622016.0672
- Brasil VP, Costa JSD. Hospitalizações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária em Florianópolis, Santa Catarina - estudo ecológico de 2001 a 2011. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2016;25(1):75-84. https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742016000100008
- Santos BV, Lima DS, Fontes CJF. Internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária no estado de Rondônia: estudo descritivo do período 2012-2016. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2019;28(1):e2017497. https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742019000100001
- 11. Roemer MI. Bed supply and hospital utilization: a natural experiment. Hospitals (Lond). 1961;35:36-42.
- Capucci P. Financiamento para Atenção Básica à Saúde no Brasil: avanços e desafios. J Manag Prim Health Care. 2014;5(1):127-8. https://doi.org/10.14295/jmphc.v5i1.206
- dos Santos NR. SUS, política pública de Estado: Seu desenvolvimento instituído e instituinte e a busca de saídas. Cienc Saúde Coletiva. 2013;18(1):273-80. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1413-81232013000100028
- Mendes A. A longa batalha pelo financiamento do SUS. Saúde Soc. 2013;22(4):987-93. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0104-12902013000400002
- 15. Cardoso CS, Pádua CM, Rodrigues-Júnior AA, Guimarães DA, Carvalho SF, Valentin RF, et al. Contribuição das internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária no perfil das admissões pelo sistema público de saúde. Rev Panam Salud Publica [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2022 May 29];34(4):227-34. Available from: https://bit.ly/3M3G1LH
- 16. Ferreira JBB, Borges MJG, Santos LL, Forster AC. Internações

aiming at improving the health system and financial investments. Further studies should assess the impact of this indicator, given its usefulness in the local situational diagnosis and the capacity to guide actions.

por condições sensíveis à atenção primária à saúde em uma região de saúde paulista, 2008 a 2010. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2014;23(1):45-56. https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742014000100005

- Santos LM, Goncalves MA, Charles C. Does municipal spending on health care have any impact on ambulatory care sensitive conditions (ACSC)? An analysis in cities in the state of Minas Gerais. Rev Gestão Sist Saúde. 2016;5(1):62-75. https://doi.org/10.5585/rgss.v5i1.185
- Figueiredo Filho DB, Silva Júnior JA. Desvendando os mistérios do coeficiente de correlação de Pearson (r). Rev Politica Hoje. 2009;18(1):115-45. Available from: https://bit.ly/3wW4D3D
- Maia LG, Silva LA, Guimarães RA, Pelazza BB. Internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária: um estudo ecológico. Rev Saúde Pública. 2019;53:2. Available from: https://bit.ly/3N6T1lg
- Costa LQ, Pinto EP, Silva MGC. Tendência temporal das Internações por Condições Sensíveis à Atenção Primária em crianças menores de cinco anos de idade no Ceará, 2000 a 2012. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2017;26(1):51-60. https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742017000100006
- Mendonça SS, Albuquerque EC. Perfil das internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária em Pernambuco, 2008 a 2012. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2014;23(3):463-74. https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742014000300009
- Pazó RG, Frauches DO, Molina MCB, Cade NV. Panorama das internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária no Espírito Santo, Brasil, 2000 a 2014. Rev Bras Med Fam Comunidade. 2017;12(39):1-12. https://doi.org/10.5712/rbmfc12(39)1546
- da Costa JSD, Pattussi MP, Morimoto T, de Arruda JS, Bratkowski GR, Sopelsa M, et al. Tendência das internações por condição sensível à atenção primária e fatores associados em Porto Alegre, RS, Brasil. Cienc Saúde Coletiva. 2016;21(4):1289-96.https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232015214.15042015
- 24. Macinko J, Harris MJ. Brazil's Family Health Strategy delivering community-based primary care in a Universal Health System. N Engl J Med. 2015;372(23):2177-81. https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMp1501140
- 25. Pinto LF, Giovanella L. Do Programa à Estratégia Saúde da Família: expansão do acesso e redução das internações por condições sensíveis à atenção básica (ICSAB). Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. 2018;23(6):1903-14. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232018236.05592018
- 26. Arantes LJ, Shimizu HE, Merchán-Hamann E. Contribuições e desafios da Estratégia Saúde da Família na Atenção Primária à Saúde no Brasil: Revisão da literatura. Ciênc Saude Coletiva. 2016;21(5):1499-510. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232015215.19602015
- 27. Mendonca CS, Harzheim E, Duncan BB, Nunes LN, Leyh W. Trends in hospitalizations for primary care sensitive conditions following the implementation of Family Health Teams in Belo Horizonte, Brazil. Health Policy Planning. 2012;27(4):348-55. https://doi.org/10.1093/heapol/czr043
- Dourado I, Oliveira VB, Aquino R, Bonolo P, Lima-Costa MF, Medina MG, et al. Trends in primary health care-sensitive conditions in Brazil. Medical Care. 2011;49(6):577-84. https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e31820fc39f
- Ferreira M, Dias BM, Mishima SM. Internações por condições sensíveis: possibilidade de avaliação na atenção básica. Rev Eletr Enferm. 2012;14(4):760-70. https://doi.org/10.5216/ree.v14i4.15797
- 30. de Arruda GO, Schmidt DB, Marcon SS. Internações por diabetes mellitus e a Estratégia Saúde da Família, Paraná,

Brasil, 2000 a 2012. Ciênc Saúde Coletiva. 2018;23(2):543-55. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232018232.23092015

- Busby J, Hollingworth W, Purdy S. The role of general practice in reducing unplanned hospital admissions. Br J Hosp Med. 2017;78(4):186-7. https://doi.org/10.12968/hmed.2017.78.4.186
- Mafra F. O impacto da atenção básica em indicadores de internação hospitalar no Brasil [Master's Dissertation]. Brasília: Universidade de Brasília; 2011.129 p. Available from: https://repositorio.unb.br/handle/10482/9156
- Morimoto T, da Costa JSD. Internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária, gastos com saúde e estratégia saúde da família: Uma análise de tendência. Ciênc Saude Coletiva. 2017;22(3):891-900. https://doi.org/10.1590/1413-81232017223.27652016
- 34. Souza DK, Peixoto SV. Estudo descritivo da evolução dos gastos com internações hospitalares por condições sensíveis à atenção primária no Brasil, 2000-2013. Epidemiol Serv Saúde. 2017;26(2):285-94. https://doi.org/10.5123/S1679-49742017000200006
- 35. Rehem TCMSB, Oliveira MRF, Ciosak SI, Egry EY. Registro das internações por condições sensíveis à atenção primária: validação do sistema de informação hospitalar. Rev Latino-Am Enfermagem [Internet]. 2013 [cited 2022 May 29];21(5):[06 screens]. Available from: https://bit.ly/3t4UGQk
- 36. Bittencourt SA, Bastos Camacho LA, Leal MDC. Hospital Information Systems and their application in public health. Cad Saude Publica. 2006;22(1):19-30. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-311X2006000100003

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest declared concerning the publication of this article.

Author contributions:

Conception and design: JBCF, MAPN Analysis and interpretation of data: RDOC, JBCF, MAPN Data collection: RDOC, MAPN Writing of the manuscript: RDOC Critical revision of the article: JBCF Final approval of the manuscript*: RDOC, JBCF, MAPN Statistical analysis: RDOC Overall responsibility: MAPN *All authors have read and approved of the final version of the article submitted to Rev Cienc Saude.

Funding information: Not applicable.